DRAFT NOV 06 1990

CERCLIS IDENTIFICATION NUMBER STATE SITE NUMBER

			1000	[N =	7	NI	100023	3836)			
,			SITE LOC	ATION							
SITE NAME: L	egal, common or descri	ptive name of site			·						
AL	MUNUM	SHAPE	S INC	<u>.</u>	· •	····					
STREET AOOR	ESS, ROUTE or SPECIF	FIC LOCATION IDE	ENTIFIER 9000	River	ROP	90					
CITY De	! Air			STA N J	TE ZIP C	300	TELEPHONE,	5500			
COORDINATES	S: LATITUDE and LONG	SITUDE	1026-7502		VNSHIP. RAN	IGE, and					
	<u> </u>		72 72 0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	, , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	·				
			OWNERVOPERATOR	IDENTIFICATION							
Ranwo	SAME	AIA	Bove	OPERATOR	SAM	2 1	as ARO	Ve			
OWNER ADDR	ESS	OPERATOR ADD									
CITY -				CITY							
STATE	ZIP CODE	TELEPHONE	·	STATE	ZIP CODE		TELEPHONE				
			~		<u> </u>						
	TYPE O	F OWNERSHIP		OWNER/OPERATOR NOTIFICATION ON FILE							
O FED STA	VATE DERAL: Agency name _ ATE UNITY NICIPAL HER: T SPECIFIED		OATE:								
		-	·								
	SITE STATUS	1.5	YEARS OF	OPERATION		·	APPROXIMATE SIZ	E OF SITE			
	ACTIVE INACTIVE UNKNOWN		BEGINNING YEAR: ENDING YEAR: UNKNOWN	1957 18287	_	23	5 Acre				
;		177	SITE EVA	LUATION							
AGENCY / OF	AGANIZATION N.	J DEP	ORPSR/BS	A							
INVESTIGATO	OR M,	chael		· .							
CONTACT		IKLO	• •								
ADDRESS	300		ON CENTER.	CN407	TR	eNI	TON, NJ	08/25-010			
TELEPHONE	091 584-	•		and the second s	7						
DATE	9/19/9							[27]			
L				 							

GENERAL INFORMATION.


The aluminum shope site is a 25 acre active surrenum monufacture operating on an -site boundry. aluminum parts are etched and painted on-site.

The facility operates on on-site masteriation treatment plant that treats chromium contominated etching masteriates. a percolation bield with two retentions basins was used at the site from 1974 to 1980. This field may land contained paint include an ail removal sums and at the site include an ail removal sums and a sum of the site goldons were the field ail UGSTs totaling 140,000 goldons were removal by months site in March 1989.

Probable Contaminants of Concern:

(Previous investigations; analytical data)

Inountemater sampling in 1988, 1989, 1988, 1989 and 1990 revealed voc, 8/d and chamium Contamination.



NOV 0 6 1990

Date: 9/19/91

GENERAL INFORMATION (continued)

Source Descriptions:

DRUMS - 70

Waste Characteristics (WC) Calculations:

(See PA Table 1, page 5)

Les Alon 1000 Duns WC=18

18

PA Table 1a: WC Scores for Single Source Sites and Formulas for Multiple Source Sites

T		SINGLE	SOURCE SITES (assigned WC	scores)	MULTIPLE SOURCE SITES
ER	SOURCE TYPE	WC = 18	WC = 32	WC = 100	Formula for Assigning Source WQ Values
CONSTITUENT	N/A	≤100 lbs	>100 to 10,000 lbs	> 10,000 lbs	/bs ÷ 1
*ASTESTREAM	N/A	≤500,000 lbs	>500,000 to 50 million lbs	>50 million lbs	lbs ÷ 5,000
	Landfill	≤6.75 million ft ³ ≤250,000 yd ³	> 6.75 million (t ³ to 675 million (t ³ > 250,000 to 25 million _. yd ³	> 675 million ft ³ > 25 million yd ³	$fr^{3} \div 67,500$ $ya^{3} \div 2,500$
V	Surface impoundment	≤6,750 ft ³ ≤250 yd³	> 6,750 ft ³ to 675,000 ft ³ > 250 to 25,000 yd ³	> 675,000 ft ³ > 25,000 yd ³	$fr^{2} \div 67.5$ $yd^{3} \div 2.5$
0	Drums	≤1,000 drums	>1,000 to 100,000 drums	> 100,000 drums	drums ÷ 10
U M	Tanks and non- drum containers	≤50,000 gailons	>50,000 to 5 million gallons	>5 million gallons	gallons ÷ 500
E	Contaminated soil	≤6,75 million ft ³ ≤250,000 yd ³	>6.75 million ft ³ to 675 million ft ³ >250,000 to 25 million yd ³	> 675 million ft ³ > 25 million yd ³	fr³ ÷ 67,500 yơ³ ÷ 2,500
	Pile	≤6,750 ft ³ ≤250 yd ³	>6,750 ft ³ to 675,000 ft ³ >250 to 25,000 yd ³	> 675,000 ft ³ > 25,000 yd ³	$ft^3 \div 67.5$ $ya^3 \div 2.5$
	Landfill	≤340,000 ft² ≤7.8 acres	>340,000 to 34 million ft ² >7.8 to 780 acres	>34 million ft ² >780 acres	$ft^2 \div 3,400$ acres $\div 0.078$
	Surface impoundment	≤1,300 ft² ≤0.029 acres	>1,300 to 130,000 ft ² >0.029 to 2.9 acres	>130,000 ft ² >2.9 acres	$ft^2 \div 13$ acres ÷ 0.00029
RE	Contaminated soil	≤3.4 million ft² ≤78 acres	>3.4 million to 340 million ft ² >78 to 7,800 acres	>340 million ft² >7,800 acres	$ft^2 \div 34,000$ acres $\div 0.78$
Α	Pile *	≤1,300 ft² ≤0.029 acros	>1,300 to 130,000 ft ² >0.029 to 2.9 scres	>130,000 ft ² >2.9 acres	$ft^2 \div 13$ $acres \div 0.00029$
	Land treatment	≤27,000 ft² ≤0.62 acres	> 27,000 to 2.7 million ft ² > 0.62 to 62 acres	>2.7 million ft² >62 acres	$ft^2 \div 270$ $acres \div 0.0062$

1 ton = 2,000 lbs = 1 yd^3 = 4 drums = 200 gallons

PA Table 1b: WC Scores for Multiple Source Sites

WQ Total	WC Score
>0 to 100	18
> 100 to 10,000	. 32
> 10,000	100

Use area of land surface under pile, not surface area of pile.

UKAFI

-NOV 0 6 1990

GROUND WATER PATHWAY

GROUND WATER USE DESCRIPTION

Describe Ground Water Use Within 4-miles of the Site:

(Provide generalized stratigraphy; information on aquifers, municipal, and or private wells)

The Site is located in the Inner Coastal Plain Physiographic Province, over an outcrop area of the Potomoc-Raritan-Magothy Formation. The aquifer located within the Potomoc-Raritan-Magothy Formation is the most important source of groundwater in Camden County. It is divided into three major hydrogeologic units: an upper, middle and lower. The aquifer is used extensively as a source of potable water by public water suppliers. Only two private wells were identified within a 4-mile radius of the site.

Date:

Camden City, Maple Shade Twp., Moorestown Twp., The Merchantville-Pennsauken Water Company and the New Jersey- American Water Company all utilize the Raritan and Magothy Formation.

Show calculations of ground water drinking water populations: Comban - 16 wells rail less than 40% of this company. 50,000 total population samed: 16=3125 people/well The Lensey. american 24 wells. total pap = 284,500 284,500-24 11,854 peoplex well. Muchataille-Bonnoauter-14 well - Letal Rop = 50,000 50,000-14= 3,571 people/well Maple Shoole- 5 wells, total pop. = 21,000 21/000-5= 4,000 people well Total pop = 15,500 15, 500 = 5 = 3, 100 people/ taled

•		Pathway Characteristics		,	
:		Do you suspect a release (see Ground Water Pathway Criteria List, page 7)? Is the site located in karst terrain?	Yes : Yes :		
		Depth to aquifer: Distance to the nearest drinking-water well:	· ·	1748 ft	•
			Α	В	٠
LI	KELIHC	OOD OF RELEASE	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	Reference
1.		CTED RELEASE: If you suspect a release to ground water (see page 7), a score of 550, and use only column A for this pathway.	550		
2.	the sit	SPECTED RELEASE: If you do not suspect a release to ground water, and e is in karst terrain or the depth to aquifer is 70 feet or less, assign a score of 340. Use only column B for this pathway.		500 w 340	
		LR =	550		
T	ARGET	S	* *	•	
3.	drinkin	RY TARGET POPULATION: Determine the number of people served by a served from wells that you suspect have been exposed to hazardous ances from the site (see Ground Water Pathway Criteria List, page 7).			
	drinkir	NDARY TARGET POPULATION: Determine the number of people served by any water from wells that you do NOT suspect have been exposed to hazardous inces from the site, and assign the total population score from PA Table 2.	1		1
		Are any wells part of a blended system? Yes \underline{V} No lf yes, attach a page to show apportionment calculations.	150,2040,0,6,3,2, 00	(20,18 9,5,3 2, or 0)	
5.	assign	EST WELL: If you have identified any Primary Targets for ground water, a score of 50; otherwise, assign the highest Nearest Well score from ble 2. If no drinking-water wells exist within 4 miles, assign a score of zero.	18		
6		HEAD PROTECTION AREA (WHPA): Assign a score of 20 if any portion of gnated WHPA is within ¼ mile of the site; assign 5 if from ¼ to 4 miles.	120. s ol	[2 0 , 5, as of	
7	. RESO	URCES: A score of 5 is assigned.	5	5	
		T =	4,481		
٧	VASTE	CHARACTERISTICS			_
8	ch	you have identified any Primary Targets for ground water, assign the waste aracteristics score calculated on page 4, or a score of 32, whichever is REATER; do not evaluate part B of this factor.	[100 a J2]		
		you have NOT identified any Primary Targets for ground water, assign the asse characteristics score calculated on page 4.	1100,32. 00 181	1100 32 = 44	
	·	WC =	18		
c	GROUN	D WATER PATHWAY SCORE: LR x T x WC 82.500	(majure to a	maximum of 1008].

GROUND WATER PATHWAY CRITERIA LIST

Site Name: \(\mathcal{P}\)\(\mathcal

This chart provides guidelines to assist you in hypothesizing the presence of a suspected release and identifying primary targets. It is expected that not all of this information will be available during the PA. Also, these criteria are not all-inclusive; list any other criteria you use to hypothesize a suspected release or to identify primary targets. This chart will record your professional judgment in evaluating these factors.

The "Suspected Release" section of the chart guides you through evaluation of some site, source, and pathway conditions to help hypothesize whether a release from the site is likely. If a release is suspected, use the "Primary Targets" section to guide you through evaluation of some conditions that will help identify targets likely to be exposed to hazardous substances. You may use this section of the chart more than ance, depending on the number of targets you feel may be considered "primary." In the "Primary Targets" section on this sheet, record the responses for the well that you feel has the highest probability of being exposed to hazardous substances.

Check the boxes to indicate a "yes", "no", or "unknown" answer to each question. If you check the "Suspected Release" box as "yes", make sure that you assign a Likelihood of Release value of 550 for the pathway.

		GROUND WA	TER PA	WHT	AY	
·	······································	SUSPECTED RELEASE			·	PRIMARY TARGETS
, N	טבאכס≩נ		Y.	N o	U v co≯c	\
6 _. =		Are sources poorly contained?	Φ,		☐.	ls any drinking-water well nearby?
) (Is the source a type likely to contribute to ground water contamination (e.g., wet lagoon)?	A	а		Is any nearby drinking-water well closed?
	ם נ	Is waste quantity particularly large?		a´	d	Has foul-tasting or foul-smelling water been reported by any nearby drinking-water users?
9	(Is precipitation heavy and infiltration rate high?		□ .	٦ ا	Do any nearby wells have a large drawdown or high production rate?
1 9		Is the site located in an area of karst terrain?			d.	Are drinking-water wells located between the sit and other wells that are suspected to be expose to hazardous substances?
	उ छ	Is the subsurface highly permeable or conductive?	4	/· 	<u> </u>	Does any circumstantial evidence of ground wat or drinking water contamination exist?
ם כ	<u> </u>	is drinking water drawn from a shallow aquifer?		र्घ		Does any drinking-water well warrant sampling?
Ja]	Are suspected contaminants highly mobile in ground water?		٥	· /	Other criteria?
9 =	2 5	Does any circumstantial evidence of ground water or drinking water contamination exist?		Ø/	•	PRIMARY TARGET(S) IDENTIFIED?
] [3	Other criteria?				
a c]	SUSPECTED RELEASE?				

☐ SUSPECTED RI	ELEASE? 			
Summarize the rationale for suspect Sampling results Contamination	ed release (attach an édditional pr	age if necessary):	Chromach	
Commission	•			
· · · · · ·				
Summarize the rationale for Primary	Targets (attach an additional pag	e if necessary):		

Site Name: Date:

PA TABLE 2: VALUES FOR SECONDARY GROUND WATER TARGET POPULATIONS

PA Table 2a: Non-Karst Aquifers

		Noarest			Рор	ulation Se	rvad by W	alls Within	n Distance	Category	7		
		Well	1	11	31	101	301	1,001	3,001	10,001	30,001	100,001	_
Distance		(choose	to	to	to	10	10	to	to	10	to	10	Population
from Site	Population	highost)	10	30	100	300	1.000	3.000	10,000	30,000	100,000	300,000	Value
O to % mile		20	1	. 2	5	16	52	163	521	1,633	5,214	16,325	
> ¼ to ¼ mile	12,500	18	1	1	3	10	32	101	.323	1,012	3,233	10,121	1,019
>½ to 1 mile	199394	9	1	1	2	- 5	17	52	167	522	1,668	5,224	530
>1 to 2 miles	48.332	5	1	. 1	, 1	3	9	29	94	294	939)	2,938	431.
> 2 to 3 miles	10.6°	3	1	1	1	2	7	21	68	212	678	2,122	673
>3 to 4 miles	119.86	2	1	: 1	1	1	4	13	42	131	417	(1,306)	1,306
,	Nearest Well =	18			• •						;	Score =	4,453

PA Table 2b: Karst Aquifers

•		Nearest			Pop	ulation Se	rvad by W	lalls With	n Distanca	Category	,		
	, ,	Well	1	11	31	101	301	1,001	3,001	10,001	30,001	100,001	
Distance		Juse 20	to	10	to	10	to	to	to	10	10	10	Population
from Site	Population	for karst)	. 10	30	100	300	1,000	3,000	10,000	30,000	100,000	300,000	Value
O to ¼ mile		20	1	2	5	16	52	163	521 -	1,633	5,214	16,325	
> % to % mils		20	, 1	1	3	10	32	101	323	1,012	3,233	10,121	
> ½ to 1 mile		20	1	,	. з	8	28	82	261	816	2,607	8,162	
> 1 to 2 miles		20	1	1	3	8	26	82	261	816	2,607	8,162	-
> 2 to 3 miles		20	1	,	3	8	26	82	261	816	2,607	8,162	
>3 ta 4 milus		20	1	1	3	8	26	82	261	816	2,607	8,162	
	Nearest Well =		÷				•					score =	

DKAFI

TNOV 0 8 1990

SURFACE WATER PATHWAY MIGRATION ROUTE SKETCH

1/19/91

Date:

Provide a Sketch of the Surface Water Migration Route: (include runoff route, probable point of entry, 15-mile target distance limit, intakes, fisheries, and sensitive environments)

SURFACE WATER PATHWAY CRITERIA LIST

Summarize the rationale for Primary Targets (attach an additional page if necessary):

Date:

This chart provides guidelines to assist you in hypothesizing the presence of a suspected release and identifying primary targets. It is expected that not all of this information will be available during the PA. Also, these criteria are not all-inclusive; list any other criteria you use to hypothesize a suspected release or to identify primary targets. This chart will record your professional judgment in evaluating these factors.

The "Suspected Release" section of the chart guides you through evaluation of some site, source, and pathway conditions to help hypothesize whether a release from the site is likely. If a release is suspected, use the "Primary Targets" section to guide you through evaluation of some conditions that will help identify targets likely to be exposed to hazardous substances. You may use this section of the chart more than once, depending on the number of targets you feel may be considered "primary." In the "Primary Targets" section on this sheet, record the responses for the target that you feel has the highest probability of being exposed to hazardous substances.

	Sexco.	SUSPECTED RELEASE	Y			PRIMARY TARGETS
	Sexcos	·	Y			
.α	•			N 0	2 8 0 3 X 3 C	
,	9	is surface water nearby?	٦		Π.	ls any target nearby? If yes:
	d	is waste quantity particularly large?				Drinking-water intake
9	Ø	is the drainage area large?				☑ Fishery
₹,	<u> </u>	Is precipitation heavy or infiltration rate low?			. /	Sensitive environment
4		Are sources poorly contained or prone to runoff or flooding?	<u> </u>	٦/	Œ.	Has an intake, fishery, or recreational area been closed?
7		is a runoff route well defined (e.g., ditch or channel leading to surface water)?		iz l		Is there any circumstantial evidence of surface water contamination at or downstream of a target?
; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;		is vegetation stressed along the probable runoff path?	а	Ø		Does any target warrant sampling? If yes:
d		Are suspected contaminants highly persistent in surface water?				☐ Drinking-water intake
`#/		Are sediments/water unnaturally discolored?				☐ Fishery
1 😉		is wildlife unnaturally absent?	,			Sensitive environment
d (Has deposition of waste into surface water been observed?			•	Other criteria? PRIMARY INTAKE(S) IDENTIFIED?
d/		Is ground water discharge to surface water likely?		\$		PRIMARY FISHERY IDENTIFIED?
		Is there any circumstantial evidence of surface water contamination?	- 🗆	व	· ·	PRIMARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT(S
		Other criteria?				· .
) Q		SUSPECTED RELEASE?				

SURFACE WATER PATHWAY / LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE AND DRINKING WATER THREAT SCORESHEET

9/4/9	Ì	•
Y		

Pathway Characteristics		
Do you suspect a release (see Surface Water Pathway Criteria List, page 11)?	Yes_	NoU
Distance to surface water:		2.000 h
Flood Frequency:		100 yrs
What is the downstream distance to the nearest drinking-water intake? miles	_	,
nearest fishery? 0.6 miles nearest sensitive environment? 0.6 miles		

LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE 1. SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you suspect a release to surface water (see page 11), assign a score of 550, and use only column A for this pathway. 2. NO SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you do not suspect a release to surface water, and the distance to surface water is 2,500 feet or less, assign a score of 500; otherwise, assign a score from the table below. Use only column B for this pathway. Floadplain Score	
1. SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you suspect a release to surface water (see page 11), assign a score of 550, and use only column A for this pathway. 2. NO SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you do not suspect a release to surface water, and the distance to surface water is 2,500 feet or less, assign a score of 500; otherwise, assign a score from the table below. Use only column B for this pathway. Floatplain Scare	
1. SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you suspect a release to surface water (see page 11), assign a score of 550, and use only column A for this pathway. 2. NO SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you do not suspect a release to surface water, and the distance to surface water is 2,500 feet or less, assign a score of 500; otherwise, assign a score from the table below. Use only column B for this pathway. Fioadplain	:es
2. NO SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you do not suspect a release to surface water, and the distance to surface water is 2,500 feet or less, assign a score of 500; otherwise, assign a score from the table below. Use only column B for this pathway. Floodplain Score	
the distance to surface water is 2,500 feet or less, assign a score of 500; otherwise, assign a score from the table below. Use only column B for this pathway. Floadplain	
wise, assign a score from the table below. Use only column B for this pathway. Floadplain Score	
Site in annual or 10-yr floodplain 500	
Site in annual or 10-yr floodplain 500 Site in 100-yr floodplain 300 Site in 500-yr floodplain 300 Site outside 500-yr floodplain 100 LR = 500 DRINKING WATER THREAT TARGETS 3. Determine the water body types, flows (if applicable), and number of people served by all drinking-water intakes within the 15-mile target distance limit. If there are no	
Site in 100-yr floodplain Site in 500-yr floodplain Site outside 500-yr floodplain LR = 15501 LR = 1500,400,000 = 1000 DRINKING WATER THREAT TARGETS 3. Determine the water body types, flows (if applicable), and number of people served by all drinking-water intakes within the 15-mile target distance limit. If there are no	
Site in 500-yr floodplain Site outside 500-yr floodplain LR = 15504 LR = 15504 DRINKING WATER THREAT TARGETS 3. Determine the water body types, flows (if applicable), and number of people served by all drinking-water intakes within the 15-mile target distance limit. If there are no	
Site outside 500-yr floodolain LR = 15504 LR = 5 0 0 DRINKING WATER THREAT TARGETS 3. Determine the water body types, flows (if applicable), and number of people served by all drinking-water intakes within the 15-mile target distance limit. If there are no	
DRINKING WATER THREAT TARGETS 3. Determine the water body types, flows (if applicable), and number of people served by all drinking-water intakes within the 15-mile target distance limit. If there are no	
DRINKING WATER THREAT TARGETS 3. Determine the water body types, flows (if applicable), and number of people served by all drinking-water intakes within the 15-mile target distance limit. If there are no	
DRINKING WATER THREAT TARGETS 3. Determine the water body types, flows (if applicable), and number of people served by all drinking-water intakes within the 15-mile target distance limit. If there are no	
DRINKING WATER THREAT TARGETS 3. Determine the water body types, flows (if applicable), and number of people served by all drinking-water intakes within the 15-mile target distance limit. If there are no	
3. Determine the water body types, flows (if applicable), and number of people served by all drinking-water intakes within the 15-mile target distance limit. If there are no	
by all drinking-water intakes within the 15-mile target distance limit. If there are no	
by all drinking-water intakes within the 15-mile target distance limit. If there are no	
drinking-water intakes within the target distance limit, assign a total Targets score of 5 at the bottom of this page (Resources only) and proceed to page 14.	
Intake Name Water Body Type Flow People Served	
TORRESORLE LG BIVER >10,000 cfs 190,000	
CIS IV V CO	
Brutel 11 " cts 175,000	
4. PRIMARY TARGET POPULATION: If you suspect any drinking-water intake listed	
above has been exposed to hazardous substances from the site (see Surface Water	
Pathway Criteria List, page 11), list the intake name(s) and calculate the factor	
score based on the number of people served.	
people x 10 =	
E SECONDARY TARGET POPULATIONS Processing the Secondary Target	
5. SECONDARY TARGET POPULATION: Determine the Secondary Target Population score from PA Table 3 based on the populations using drinking-water	
from intakes that you do NOT suspect have been exposed to hazardous substances from the site.	
	[
Are any intakes part of a blended system? Yes V No No	1
If yes, attach a page to show apportionment calculations.	_ l
[50,20,10,2,1, ac 0] [20,10,2,1, ac 0]	
6. NEAREST INTAKE: If you have identified any Primary Targets for the drinking	ŀ
water threat (Factor 4), assign a score of 50; otherwise, assign the Nearest Intake	(:
score from PA Table 3. If no drinking-water intake exists within the 15-mile target	
distance limit, assign a score of zero.	- (
7 RESOLIBOES: A score of 5 is resigned	-
7. RESOURCES: A score of 5 is assigned. 5 5	Į.
	1

PA TABLE 3: VALUES FOR SECONDARY SURFACE WATER TARGET POPULATIONS

Surface Water		Noarest				Population	Sarvod b	y Intakus 1	Nithin Flo	w Catagoi	γ			
Body Flow	1	Intake	7	31	101	301	1,001	3,001	10,001	30,001	100,001	300,001	1,000,001	•
Characteristics		(choosa	to	to .	to	to	to	to	to ·	to	to	to	to	Population
(see PA Table 4)	Population	highasti	30	100	300	1.000	3,000	10,000	30,000	100,000	300,000	1,000,000	3,000,000	Value
< 10 cfs	· · ·	20	2	5	16	52	163	521	1,633	5,214	16,325	52,136	163,248	
10 to 100 cfs	<u></u>	2	1	1	2	5	-16	52	163	521	1,633	5,214	16,325	
> 100 to 1,000 cfs		1	o	0	.1	1	2	5	16	52	163	521	1,633	
> 1,000 to 10,000 cfs		0	0	a ;	0	0	1	1	2	5	16	52	163	
> 10,000 cfs or Grout Lukas	842000		0	٥	0	0	o	0	.1	1	2	S	16	_5_
3-mile Mixing Zone		10	1	3	8	26	82	261	816	2,607	8,162	26,068	81,663	
Nearest Intake =					,								Score =	5

PA TABLE 4: SURFACE WATER TYPE / FLOW CHARACTERISTICS WITH DILUTION WEIGHTS FOR SECONDARY SURFACE WATER SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS

Type of So	urface Water Body	Dilution
Water Body Type	OR Flow Characteristics	Weight
minimal stream	flow less than 10 cfs	1
small to moderate stream	flow 10 to 100 cfs	0.1
moderate to lurge streem	flow grauter than 100 to 1,000 cfs	N/A
large stream to river	flow grouter than 1,000 to 10,000 cfs	N/A
larga river	flow greater than 10,000 cfs	N/A
3-mile mixing zone of quiet flowing streams or rivers	flow 10 cfs or greater	N/A
coustal tidal water (harbors, sounds, buys, etc.), ecaun, or Great Lakes	N/A	N/A

SURFACE WATER PATHWAY (continued) HUMAN FOOD CHAIN THREAT SCORESHEET

9/19/91

	Α	В	
LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
Enter the Surface Water Likelihood of Release score from page 12. LR =	(560)	500,400,200 = 1004	
HUMAN FOOD CHAIN THREAT TARGETS			
8. Determine the water body types and flows (if applicable) for all fisheries within the 15-mile target distance limit. If there are no fisheries within the target distance limit, assign a Targets score of 0 at the bottom of this page and proceed to page 15. Fishery Name Water Body Type Flow			
9. PRIMARY FISHERIES: If you suspect any fishery listed above has been exposed to hazardous substances from the site (see Surface Water Criteria List, page 11), assign a score of 300 and do not evaluate Factor 10. List the Primary Fisheries:	(500 ac 00)		
10. SECONDARY FISHERIES: If you have not identified any Primary Fisheries, assign a Secondary Fisheries score from the table below using the LOWEST flow at any fishery within the 15-mile target distance limit.	(210,30,12 ar O)	[210,30,12, a ol	
Lowest Flow Secondary Fisheries Score < 10 cfs 210 10 to 100 cfs 30 > 100 cfs, coastal tidal waters, oceans, 12 or Great Lakes		19	

40V 06 1990

SURFACE WATER PATHWAY (continued) ENVIRONMENTAL THREAT SCORESHEET

Oluminum Enope

				Α	В	
LIKELIHOOD OF RE	LEASE			Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
nter the Surface Wate	er Likelihood of Release s	core from page 12.	LR =	(560)	1500,400,300 ± 1004	
ENVIRONMENTAL	THREAT TARGETS					
sensitive environm and 5). If there are	nents within the 15-mile re no sensitive environme	(if applicable) for all surface war target distance limit (see PA Tab ents within the 15-mile target dis ttom of this page, and proceed to	les 4 tance			
Environment Nan	7 <i>0</i>	Water Body Type Flow				
			cfs			
			crs			
			cfs			
			_cfs			
	iteria List, page 11), assi ne Primary Sensitive Envi	gn a score of 300 and do not eva	aluate '			ago ss
13. SECONDARY SE	NSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	3:				·
	- T	on surface water bodies with flows, and do not evaluate part B of				•
Flow	Dilution Weight (PA Table 4)	Environment Type and Value (PA Tables 5 and 6)	Total	, , ,		
cfs	x				1.	
cfs	x	=				
cfs	×	=				
cfs	×	=		· ·		
cfs	x	=				
		its are located on surface water	Sum =	[10 w 0]	(10 = o)	
10 SWOIT TIN	100 cfs or less, assign a	I SCORE OF TO.			110	
		4	T =	, .	10	

Site Name: Kurrinin Bragy,
Date: 9/19/9/

PA TABLE 5: SURFACE WATER AND AIR SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS VALUES

Critical habitat for Federally designated endangered or threatened species		signed V	0.00
Marine Sanctuary		100	
National Park			
Designated Federal Wilderness Area			
Ecologically important areas identified under the Coastal Zone Wilderness Act			
Sensitive Areas identified under the National Estuary Program or Near Coastal Water Program of the	. Class Makes Ask		
Critical Areas Identified under the Clean Lakes Program of the Clean Water Act (subareas in lakes o			. :
Critical Areas Identified under the Clean Cakes Program of the Clean Water Act (Subareas in lakes of National Monument	r onura sman (akas)		
National Seashore Recreation Area			
National Lakeshore Recreation Area			
		75	
Habitat known to be used by Federally designated or proposed endangered or threatened species National Preserve		/ 5	
National or State Wildlife Refuge			
Unit of Coastal Barrier Resources System			
Federal land designated for the protection of natural ecosystems			
Administratively Proposed Federal Wilderness Area			
•			
Spawning areas critical for the maintenance of fish/shellfish species within a river system, bay or e	•		
Migratory pathways and feeding areas critical for the maintenance of anadromous fish species in a	•		
Terrestrial areas utilized by large or dense aggregations of vertebrate animals (semi-aquatic foragers	s) tot ateeding	•	٠.
National river reach designated as recreational			
Hebitat known to be used by State designated endangered or threatened species		- 50	
Habitat known to be used by a species under review as to its Federal endangered or threatened sta	tus		
Coastal Barrier (partially developed)	•		1
Federally designated Scenic or Wild River			
State land designated for wildlife or game management	4	25	
State designated Scenic or Wild River	• •		
State designated Natural Area			
Particular areas, relatively small in size, important to maintenance of unique biotic communities			
State designated areas for the protection/maintenance of aquatic life under the Clean Water Act		5	
	PA Table 6 (Surface V	Vater Path	(VEW
Wetlands	or		1
	PA Table 9 (Air Pa	thwavi	

PA TABLE 6: SURFACE WATER WETLANDS FRONTAGE VALUES

Total Length of Wetlands	Assigned Value
Less than 0.1 mile	0
0.1 to 1 mile	25
Greater than 1 to 2 miles	50
Greater than 2 to 3 miles	75
Greater than 3 to 4 miles	100
Greater than 4 to 8 miles	150
Greater than 8 to 12 miles	250
Greater than 12 to 16 miles	350
Greater than 16 to 20 miles	450
Greater than 20 miles	500

KAL

OV 06 1990

.

Date:

9/19/91

U SURFACE WATER PATHWAY (concluded)

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS, THREAT, AND PATHWAY SCORE SUMMARY

1		A	В
: WAS	TE CHARACTERISTICS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release
14. A.	If you have identified ANY Primary Targets for surface water (pages 12, 14, or 15), assign the waste characteristics score calculated on page 4, or a score of 32, whichever is GREATER; do not evaluate part 8 of this factor.	[100 w 32]	(100,32, ± 16)
В.	If you have NOT identified any Primary Targets for surface water, assign the waste characteristics score calculated on page 4.		18
E CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	WC =		18

SURFACE WATER PATHWAY THREAT SCORES

Threat	Likelihood of Release (LR) Score (from page 12)	Targets (T) Score	Pathway Waste Characteristics (WC) Score (determined above)	Threat Score LR x T x WC /82,500
Drinking Water	500	10	-18	(subject to a measure of 100)
Human Food Chain	500	12	18	(subsect to a meanum of 100)
Environmental	500	10	18	(majoris to a meanment of BQ)

SURFACE WATER PATHWAY SCORE

(Drinking Water Threat + Human Food Chain Threat + Environmental Threat)

Induct to a maximum of 1001

3.5

SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY CRITERIA LIST

Site Name: 9/19/9

This chart provides guidelines to assist you in hypothesizing the presence of a resident population. It is expected that not all of this information will be available during the PA. Also, these criteria are not all-inclusive; list any other criteria you use to hypothesize resident populations. This chart will record your professional judgment in evaluating this factor.

Use the resident population section to guide you through evaluation of some site and source conditions that will help identify targets likely to be exposed to hazardous substances. You may use this section of the chart more than once, depending on the number of nearby people you feel may be considered part of a resident population. Record the responses for the resident population target that you feel has the highest probability of being exposed to hazardous substances.

Check the boxes to indicate a "yes", "no", or "unknown" answer to each question.

SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY							
SUSPECTED CONTAMINATION				RESIDENT POPULATION			
	Y	N o	שכאכס≸מ				
Surficial contamination is assumed.	۵	5		Are there residences, schools, or day care facilities on or within 200 feet of areas of suspected contamination?			
	a	A	٦	Are residences, schools, or day care facilities located on adjacent land previously owned or leased by the site owner/operator?			
		H	۵	Is there an overland migration route that might spread hazardous substances near residences, schools, or day care facilities?			
	0	∀		Are there any reports of adverse health effects from onsite or adjacent residents or students, exclusive of apparent drinking water or air contamination problems?			
	а	0		Does any offsite property warrant sampling?			
		۵,		Other criteria?			
		ø		RESIDENT POPULATION IDENTIFIED?			

Summarize the ratio	nale for resident population (attach an additional page if necessary):
i es	

3/19/91

Date:
SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORESHEET

Pathway Characteristics		/ 1	*
Do any people live on or within 200 ft of areas of suspected contamination. Do any people attend school or day care on or within 200 ft of areas of suspected contamination?		$\overline{}$	
Is the facility active? Yes \(\square\) No If yes, estimate the number of w	Ye orkers:	s No <u>U</u>	tr.
	A	B	
LIKELIHOOD OF EXPOSURE	Suspected Contamination	No Suspected Contamination	References
SUSPECTED CONTAMINATION: Surficial contamination is assumed.	(550)		
A score of 550 is assigned.	550		
RESIDENT POPULATION THREAT TARGETS			
			
2. RESIDENT POPULATION: Determine the number of people occupying residences or attending school or day care on or within 200 feet of areas of suspected			اندا ا
contamination (see Soil Exposure Pathway Criteria List, page 18).	10		
people x 10 =	(50 ar Q)		
3. RESIDENT INDIVIDUAL: If you have identified any Resident Population (Factor 2), assign a score of 50; otherwise, assign a score of 0.	0		
4. WORKERS: Assign a score from the following table based on the total number of	(15, 10, 5, or O)		(2)
workers at the facility and nearby facilities with suspected contamination:			14. 12. 14.
Number of Workers Score	·		
1 to 100 5			. 11
101 to 1,000 10 15	10		· .
5. TERRESTRIAL SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS: Assign a value from PA Table 7			
for each terrestrial sensitive environment that is located on an area of suspected contamination:			
Terrestrial Sensitive Environment Type Value			- W
		· .	
			r.
Sum	=		
6. RESOURCES: A score of 5 is assigned.	5		
T:	= 1:5		1.
WASTE CHARACTERISTICS			•
7. Assign the waste characteristics score calculated on page 4. WC	= 18		
	Imagent to	a majamum el 1001	1
RESIDENT POPULATION THREAT SCORE: LE x T x WC 82,500	- / . 4	B	
NEARBY POPULATION THREAT SCORE:		2	1 . la.
Assign a score of 2	<u></u>	2]
COULTYPOCUPE DATINALY COORT	[mapset to	e mesumum et 1001	1
SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORE: Resident Regulation Threat + Meanby Regulation Threat	1 3.	S	

DRAFI

NOV 06 1990

Ja(e:

9/19/9)

PA TABLE 7: SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY TERRESTRIAL SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT VALUES

Terrestrial Sensitive Environment	Assigned Value
Terrestrial critical habitat for Federally designated endangered or threatened species	100
National Park	
Designated Federal Wilderness Area	
National Monument	
Terrestrial habitat known to be used by Federally designated or proposed threatened or endangered species	75
National Preserve (terrestrial)	
National or State terrestrial Wildlife Refuge	•
Federal land designated for protection of natural ecosystems	
Administratively proposed Federal Wilderness Area	
Terrestrial areas utilized by large or dense aggregations of animals (vertebrate species) for breeding	
Terrestrial habitat used by State designated endangered or threatened species	50
Terrestrial habitat used by species under review for Federally designated endangered or threatened status	
State lands designated for wildlife or game management	25
State designated Natural Areas	
Particular areas, relatively small in size, important to maintenance of unique biotic communities	

AIR PATHWAY CRITERIA LIST

Site Name: Occumunum

This chart provides guidelines to assist you in hypothesizing the presence of a suspected release. It is expected that not all of this information will 🥫 available during the PA. Also, these criteria are not all-inclusive; list any other criteria you use to hypothesize a suspected release. This chart will record your professional judgment in evaluating this factor.

The "Suspected Release" section of the chart guides you through evaluation of some conditions to help hypothesize whether a release from the site is likely. For the Air Pathway, if a release is suspected, "Primary Targets" are any residents, workers, students, or sensitive environments within 14 mile of the site.

Check the boxes to indicate a "yes", "no", or "unknown" answer to each question. If you check the "Suspected Release" box as "yes", make sure that you assign a Likelihood of Release value of 550 for the pathway.

	AIR PATHWAY						
			SUSPECTED RELEASE	PRIMARY TARGETS			
Y	N 0	שנאנס\$כ					
d	а	ш	Have odors been reported?	If you suspect a release to air, evaluate all populations and sensitive environments within ¼ mile (including those onsite) as Primary Targets.			
q			Has a release of hazardous substances to the air been directly observed?				
<u> </u>			Are there any reports of adverse health effects (e.g., headeches, nauses, dizziness) potentially resulting from migration of hazardous substances through the air?				
Q			Is there any circumstantial evidence of an air release?				
رت ا			Other criteria?				
A	□		SUSPECTED RELEASE?				

Summarize the rationale for suspected release (attach an additional page if necessary): a long fiction of order and and a sometime of complaints for this sta. NZDEP verified odor and porticulate

VOV	0.6	1990
	• •	

AIR PATHWAY SCORESHEET

	1,5	🗸	(
4	1	91	l G,	1
	• •	11		1

2011	TAL SCOTIESTIES
Pathwa	y Characteristics

Do you suspect a release (see Air Pathway Criteria List, page 21)? Distance to the nearest individual:

Yes No _

No Suspected

120.7.2.1. ar Of

References

	.*		••	 Suspected
LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE				 Release

- SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you suspect a release to air (see page 21), assign a score of 550, and use only column A for this pathway.
- 2. NO SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you do not suspect a release to air, assign a score of 500, and use only column B for this pathway.

į	Release 15601 550	Release
	[560]	
	550	
		. (500)

LR = 550

8.000

50

TARGETS

- 3. PRIMARY TARGET POPULATION: Determine the number of people subject to exposure from a release of hazardous substances through the air (see Air Pathway Criteria List, page 21).
- 4. SECONDARY TARGET POPULATION: Determine the number of people within the 4-mile target distance limit, and assign the total population score from PA Table 8.
- 5. NEAREST INDIVIDUAL: If you have identified any Primary Targets for the air pathway, assign a score of 50; otherwise, assign the highest Nearest Individual score from PA Table 8.
- 6. PRIMARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS: Sum the sensitive environment values (PA Table 5) and wetland acreage values (PA Table 9) for environments subject to exposure from air hazardous substances (see Air Pathway Criteria List, page 21).

Sensitive Environment Type	Value
FeD. ENDANGERO	75
WETLANDS	25

- 7. SECONDARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS: Use PA Table 10 to determine the score for secondary sensitive environments.
- 8. RESOURCES: A score of 5 is assigned.

	100	
		,
į	151	(5)
	5	5
- 1	'	j

T = 8,258

Sum =

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

÷

- A. If you have identified any Primary Targets for the air pathway, assign the waste characteristics score calculated on page 4, or a score of 32, whichever is GREATER; do not evaluate part 8 of this factor.
 - B. If you have NOT identified any Primary Targets for the air pathway, assign the waste characteristics score calculated on page 4.

32	
(100,32, or 18)	{100,32, œ 18}
	I

wc = 32

AIR PATHWAY SCORE:

LR x T x WC 82,500 100

Date:

9/14/91

PA TABLE 8: VALUES FOR SECONDARY AIR TARGET POPULATIONS

·		Nearost				F	Population	Within Di	tance Cal	(адогу 💮					`
	[Individual	. 1	11	31	101	301	1,001	3,001	10,001	30,001	100,001	300,001	1,000,001	
Distanca		choose	to	to	to	10	10	to	to	to	10	10	10	to	Population
from Site	Population	highest)	10	30	100	300	1.000	3,000	10,000	30,000	100,000	300,000	1,000,000	3,000,000	Value
Onsit a	800	20	1	2 .	5	16	52	163	521	1,633	5,214	16,325	52,136	163,246	52
>0 to % mile	5	20	1	1	1	4	13	41	130	408	1,303	4,081	13,034	40,811	
> ¼ to ¼ mile	31	, 2	0	6	1	1	3	9	28	88	282	882	2,815	8,815	0
> 1/2 to 1 mile	3636	, 1	٥	٥	0	1	1	3	8	26	83	261	834	2,612	8
> 1 to 2 miles	31 997	0	0	. 0	o o	o	1	1	3	B)	27	83	266	833	8
> 2 to 3 miles	88,365	o	a	0	0	a	1	1	1	4	(12)	38	120	376	12
>3 to 4 miles	194,201	0	٥	o	, 0	0	·a	1	1	2	7	(23)	73	229	23
Nearest	Individual =													Score =	57

PA TABLE 9: AIR PATHWAY VALUES FOR WETLAND AREA

Walland Area As	signed Value
Loss than 1 acre	0
1 to 50 acres	25
Greater than 50 to 100 acres	75
Greater than 100 to 150 acres	125
Greater than 150 to 200 acres	175
Greater than 200 to 300 acres	250
Grouter than 300 to 400 acres	350
Greater than 400 to 500 acres	450
Greeter than 500 acres	500

PA TABLE 10: DISTANCE WEIGHTS AND CALCULATIONS FOR AIR PATHWAY SECONDARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS

Distança	Distance Welght	Sensitive Environment Type and Value (from PA Table 5 or 9)	Product
Onsite	0.10	x	
0-1/4 mi	0.025	x x	
1/4-1/2mi	0.0054	x x	

Total Environments Score =

SITE SCORE CALCULATION

vate: acumunu - sneeds

	S	S²
GROUND WATER PATHWAY SCORE (S,w):	100.	10,000
SURFACE WATER PATHWAY SCORE (S,):	3.5	12.25
SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORE (S,):	3.8	14.44
AIR PATHWAY SCORE (S,):	/00	10,000
SITE SCORE:	$\sqrt{\frac{S_{gw}^2 + S_{sw}^2 + S_{se}^2 + S_a^2}{4}} =$	71

RECOMMENDATION

SITE shoved REMAIN INDER NJDEPE, Oursier of water Resources fundiction for continued groundwater monitoring

SUMMARY

	YES	NO	
 Is there a high possibility of a threat to nearby drinking water wells by migration of hazardous substances in ground water? 	*	• a	1
A. If yes, identify the wells recommended for sampling during the SI.			
B. If yes, how many people are served by these threatened wells?			e de de la company
. Are any of the following suspected to have been exposed to hazardous substances through surface water migration from the site?			.
A. Drinking water intake			(
B. Fishery		5	1
C. Sensitive environment: wetland, critical habitat, others		<u> </u>	į.
D. If yes, identify the targets recommended for sampling during the SI.			F
	-		Ĺ
	_		[:
Do people reside or attend school or day care on or within 200 ft of any area of suspected contamination?		也	
4. Are there public health concerns at this site that are not addressed by PA scoring considerations? If yes, explain:			
			1 "